



„Two models for improving employment opportunities for university graduates” project
no. 2012-1-PL1-LEO02-27677

This project has been funded with the support of the European Commission under the Lifelong Learning Programme.

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Host country | Turkey |
| Host institution | Emerson Educational Services |
| Month being evaluated | Sixth month of the internship / ending evaluation |
| Name and surname | Tadik |

Please, assess the following categories in a scale of 1-10:

| Category | Mark |
|--|------|
| Acquired professional skills | 10 |
| Range of usage of the foreign language while fulfilling internship duties | 10 |
| Improvement of language skills | 9 |
| Influence of the experience on a personal level | 9 |
| Adjustment and orientation to the culture of the host country | n/a |
| The Lazarski University's assistance in all aspects of your participation in the project | 10 |
| General gratification and satisfaction of the outcome of your experience | 10 |

Other comments:

This was a great experience for me. I could be in my native country, but I experienced it through the eyes of my Polish experience as well as my internship's American perspective. It was helpful and enlightening.

Did you encounter any difficult circumstances during the month which is being evaluated?

If so, please describe them.

No. Mr. Emerson was a great mentor for me.

How did you solve them? (if applicable)

If they have not been solved yet, please describe what steps have been taken so far and what has been arranged by the participant, the project coordinator and the person responsible from the host institution.

Ending evaluation:

| Category | Mark |
|---|------|
| The extent to which you are satisfied with the decision on participation in the project | 10 |
| The extent to which the project has contributed to the improvement of the attractiveness of your profile on the labour market | 9 |
| The extent to which the project has affected your professional future plans | 9 |
| The extent to which the project has contributed to your personal development | 9 |
| How do you evaluate the organization of the implementation of the project by the University? | 10 |
| How do you evaluate the University' assisting during your participation in? | 10 |
| What do you think about the University initiative towards a foreign professional development of graduates | 10 |

Please, name three main advantages of the participation in the Leonardo da Vinci project:

1. It provides an opportunity to gain valuable work experience.
2. Provide decent grant.
3. It gives a chance to develop and improve yourself and your skill.

Please, name three main disadvantages of the participation in the Leonardo da Vinci project:
I do not see any disadvantages.

Please, describe how you have organized the professional, language and cultural preparation. The question refers to the way of spending 250 euro of an additional grant aimed at professional, linguistic and cultural development.

– As I mentioned in my previous email, that I took academic English course.

-
1. How did you find out about the possibility of participating in the project?

I found out about it from Lazarski University.

2. Do you have any observations carried out the recruitment process?

I thought it was professionally done and it was efficient. Really great.

3. Whether the policy of recruitment and the formal requirements have been clearly defined?

Everything was clearly defined.

4. How did you find an accommodation in pursuing an internship? Please provide practical tips for future interns (e.g. website, where you can find listings, contact details).

I had been in Istanbul many times, and I have friends there, they helped me to find an accommodation.

5. Do you think that accommodation should be organized by the University?

The university should at least propose a couple of options.

6. Do you think that insurance should be organized by the University?

It would be easier if the insurance were organized by the University.

7. Do you think that travel should be organized by the University?

I think it is easier when the participant organizes his or her own travel, but if the participant doesn't know how, maybe the university can help.

8. Were you well informed about all important issues before you go? If not, please indicate what information was missing.

Yes, everything was clear

9. Please describe the profile of the activities and the extent of real activities.

The match was very good. What was profiled were my real activities.

10. Do you have any reservations about the internship held in the institution? If so, please describe what.

The only thing about this institution was that it was really slow during the summer and there were too many holidays so sometimes we didn't have a lot of work to do.

11. Were you duly informed by the University with the required formalities?

I was duly informed by the University regarding formalities

12. What are the most common problems of participation in the project?

12a. How were they solved?

The traffic in Istanbul is terrible and so I could be late. This was solved by the company giving me flexible hours so that I could avoid rush hour traffic.

13. Please indicate your hypothetical situation, that would take place if you will not participate materialize my goal.

I don't understand this question.

14. Are you considering working abroad in the future?

14a. How does this decision affect participation in the Leonardo da Vinci programme?

I always wanted to work in abroad. The Leonardo da Vinci programme encouraged me to materialize my goal.

15. What do you advice another potential participants in programme the Leonardo da Vinci?

I would advise to conduct a broad research regarding the place that they are going to do their internship. While they are there, they should take the full advantage of being abroad. Going to museum, historical places etc. It is a great opportunity; later on they would not have much time to do so.

16. Please describe the proposed modifications aimed at improving the Organization and enhance the quality of projects under the Leonardo da Vinci Programme at Łazarski University.

I thought it went smoothly. I can't think of modifications that can improve this program. It did what it said it would do and I am grateful for it.

Other comments: